

2020-10-28

Mr. Jimmy Sandusky Halton Co 103 Industrial Dr Scottsville, KY, 42164-7932 US

E-mail: jimmy.sandusky@halton.com

Reference: Project: 4789265942 P.O. Number: N/A

Product: EPA 202 TEST METHOD: USING THE MOFFAT OVEN MODEL E35T6-26-P263

COOKING THE BELOW FOOD PRODUCT AS MEDIA.

Dear Mr. Sandusky,

Per your request, project 4789265942 was opened for the evaluation of grease-laden vapors produced from the Moffat oven Model E35T6-26-P263.

The scope of this project was to determine the total grease emissions from cooking quartered roasting chickens weighing 2-1/2 to 3-1/2 lb. skin-on and bone-in as the specified food load as noted in Appendix A. Testing is conducted in accordance with EPA Method 202 test guidelines to determine ultimate results. Results are used to determine compliance with Section 59 of UL710B, the Standard for Recirculating Systems, formerly Section 14 of UL 197, Eighth Edition, Supplement SB, and paragraph 4.1.1.2 of NFPA96, the Standard for Ventilation Control and Fire Protection of Commercial Cooking Operations. The test was conducted at our facility in Northbrook, IL on October 20th, 2020. This letter will report the results of the EPA202 test.

For the record, the test was conducted using the Moffat, Model E35T6-26-P263, rated 208 V, 11.2 KW, 3 ph, 60 Hz. Model E35T6-26-P263 also considered representative of Moffat model E31, E32, and E33 since the models with rated less than 11.2 KW and they are smaller in size and has less food throughput. The test media, food load and oven programming as shown in Appendix A were taken from UL 710B, section 59. The results are considered to comply with UL710B, Section 59, formerly Section 14 of UL 197, Eighth Edition, Supplement SB, and NFPA96, paragraph 4.1.1.2 when tested with the specified food load and maximum cook times since the total amount of grease-laden effluents collected was <u>0.82 mg/m³</u>, which is less than <u>5 mg/m³</u> limit. No evaluation was conducted in regards to fire protection.



UL LLC did not select the samples, determine whether the samples were representative of production samples or witness the production of the test samples, nor were we provided with information relative to the formulation or identification of component materials used in the test samples. The test results apply only to the actual samples tested.

The issuance of this report in no way implies Listing, Classification or Recognition by UL LLC and does not authorize the use of UL Listing, Classification or Recognition Marks or any other reference to UL LLC on the product or system. UL LLC authorizes the above named company to reproduce this Report provided it is reproduced in its entirety. The name, Brand or Marks of UL LLC cannot be used in any packaging, advertising, promotion or marketing relating to the data in this Report, without UL's prior written permission.

UL, its employees and agents shall not be responsible to anyone for the use or nonuse of the information contained in this Report, and shall not incur any obligation or liability for damages, including consequential damages, arising out of or in connection with the use of, or inability to use, the information contained in this Report.

This letter will serve to report that all tests on the subject product have been completed. All information generated will be retained for future use. This concludes all work associated with EPA202 portion of the Project 4789265942 and we are therefore closing this portion of the project. Our Accounting Department has been instructed to bill you for all charges incurred.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide your company with these services. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you should have any questions or comments.

Very truly yours,

Smit Thakkar

Associate Project Engineer

E-mail: Smit.Thakkar@ul.com

Reviewed by:

Fred Zaplatosch Senior Staff Engineer

E-mail: Fred.Zaplatosch@ul.com

APPENDIX: A

		•
CLIENT INFORMATION	N	l
Company Name	Halton Co	
Address	101 Industrial Dr	l
	Scottsville, KY 42164	l

AUDIT INFORMATION:								
Description of Tests	Per Standard	UL 197	Edition/ Revision	10 th 2020-07-10				
	No.	CSA C22.2 No. 109-17	Date	3rd 05/2017				
		UL 710B		2 nd 2/1/2019				
[X] Tests Conducted by 1 KRZYSZTOF SROKA								
[X] UL Staff supervising								
UL Staff in training Leo Carrillo								

TESTS	TO BE	CONDUCTED:	
			[] Comments/Parameters
Test			[] Tests Conducted by ²
No.	Done ³	Test Name	[] Link to separate data files ⁴
1	2020	POWER INPUT TEST (THREE PHASE):	
	-10-	RATING (CSA 22.2 109-17):	
	06		
2	2020	CAPTURE TEST:	
	-10-		
	20		
3	2020	EMISSION TEST:	
	-10-		
	26		

Special Instructions -

[x] No general environmental conditions are specified in the Standard(s) or have been identified that could affect the test results or measurements.

RISK ANALYSIS RELATED TO TESTING PERFORMANCE:

The following types of risks have been identified. Take necessary precautions. This list is not all inclusive.

[x] Electric shock	[] Radiation
[x] Energy related hazards	[] Chemical hazards
[x] Fire	[] Noise
[x] Heat related hazards	[] Vibration
[x] Mechanical	[] Other (Specify)

GENERAL TEST CONSIDERATIONS - ALL TESTS:

[Power Supply Connections]

Unless otherwise specified in the individual test methods, the appliance was connected to a [208] volt source of supply at [60] Hz.

This supply connection was based on

- [x] The marked voltage rating
- [] The highest voltage of the applicable range of voltages

TEST LOCATION: (To be completed by Staff Conducting the Testing)	T P	'L
[X]UL or Affiliate []WTDP []CTDP []TPTDP []TCP []PPP		
Company Name: UL LLC		
Address: 333 PFINGSTEN RD, NORTHBROOK IL 60062		

TEST EQUIPMENT INFORMATION

[X] UL test equipment information is recorded on Meter Use.

TEST SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION:

The table below is provided to establish correlation of sample numbers to specific product related information. Refer to this table when a test identifies a test sample by "Sample No." only.

Sample Card	Date	[] Test	Sample	
No.	Received	No.+	No.	Manufacturer, Product Identification and Ratings
3272533	2020-08-	All	1	Moffat, Electric Convection oven, Model
	28			E35T6-26-P263, rated 208 V, 3 ph, 11.2 kW
3272533-1	2020-09- 10	All	2	Stand for Model E35
3297365-1	2020-09- 10	All	3	Sheet Pans - 2/3 size

+ - If Test Number is used, the Test Number or Numbers the sample was used in must be identified on the data sheet pages or on the Data Sheet Package cover page.

- [] Sampling Procedure -
- [] This document contains data or information using color and if printed, should be printed in color to retain legibility and the information represented by the color.



METHOD

[x] The supply voltage was adjusted to voltage and frequency as noted in "General Test Considerations", [208 V], [60 Hz].
[] The supply voltage was adjusted to the [rated voltage] [mean of the rated voltage range] at rated frequency, [V], [Hz].
The power input was measured with the appliance at the intended operating temperature under full-load conditions.
[x] (c-UL) - To determine the proper test voltage for the Temperature (Normal) and Temperature (Abnormal) tests, the supply voltage was adjusted to the increased test voltage as noted below. Following the test at increased test voltage, the supply voltage was adjusted to the value necessary to cause the appliance to draw the increased test [current] [and] [power], calculated as specified below.
Increased Test Voltage (V_t): 216V for appliances rated 208V. 250V for appliances rated between 220V-250V.
Increased Test Current (I_t): $I_r(V_t/V_r) = $ A
Increased Test Power (W_t) : $W_r (V_t/V_r)^2 = _12.1_ (kW)$
Where V_r , I_r , and W_r , are the rated voltage, current, and power of the appliance, respectively. Note: when the appliance is rated for a range of voltages, the mean of the range is to be used as V_r .
PARAMETERS Appliance Ratings:
Volts: _208_; Current: A; Power:11.2 (kW)

RATING (CSA 22.2 109-M1981):



RESULTS

Operating	Specified				Measured							
Conditions			Amps		Power,		Volts			Amps		Power,
00110110110	Volts	L1	L2	L3	(₩) (kW)	L1-L2	L2-L3	L1-L3	L1	L2	L3	(W) (kW)
Full power												
operation, rated												
voltage	208					208	209	209	32	32	33	11683
[x] Full power												
operation, rated												
power					11.2	204	205	205	32	32	32	11201
			C-	-UL O	perating	Condit	cions					
Full power												
operation,												
increased test												
voltage	216					216	217	217	33	33	33	12433
[x] Full power												
operation,												
increased test												
power					12.1	213	214	214	33	33	33	12109

[] The input current [was] [was not] between 90% and 105% of the rated input current when the appliance was energized at rated voltage.

[x] The input power [was] [was not] between 90% and 105% of the rated input power when the appliance was energized at rated voltage.



UL 710B Sec. 58 UL 710 Sec. 31

METHOD

The model E35T6-26-P263 cooking appliance was placed under a hood operating at 500 CFM. Food product as specified below was then used for testing, see Emission Testing for specific details. The cooking area is to be observed for the presence of visible smoke and grease-laden air, and the hood assembly shall completely capture all of the emission as determined by observation.

COOKING PRODUCT

- [x] Other As described in the Emission Test.
- [] For testing ovens, as an alternate, when chicken does not produce visible cooking smoke and grease laden air, one sheet pan (nominal pan size 18 by 26 inches) filled with 1 lb of pork bacon and coated with one cup of sugar distributed evenly is permitted to be used. The pan shall be placed at the lowest location (rack) of the oven, and the oven run at the maximum temperature for 10 minutes

COOKING METHOD

[Other]

Quartered chickens weighing 2.5 - 3.5 lbs. The oven cavity was filled to the maximum capacity of 24 quartered chickens, and was cooked at $500^{\circ}F$ for 8 hrs. 00 minutes.

RESULTS

Their [was] [was not] the presence of visible smoke and grease-laden air from the appliance during testing.

The sample [did] [$\frac{did-not}$] capture all of the emissions from the cooking appliance.

Note: Cook time is 27 minutes. No water connection, per Smit Thakkar no steam injection during testing. Total of 4 chickens per tray, a total of 6 trays per load.



TEST FOR EVOLUTION OF SMOKE OR GREASE-LADEN AIR (500°F):

The model E35T6-26-P263 cooking appliance was placed under a hood operating at 500 CFM, and was tested using a method derived from EPA Method 202. The [Underwriters Laboratories] provided **the food load** for the test.

A $\underline{12}$ in. by $\underline{6}$ in. rectangular, $\underline{108}$ in. tall sheet metal stack was constructed on top of the hood. A sampling port was located approximately 80 in. downstream from the hood exhaust, at which point it was determined there was laminar flow. The sampler was assembled and an out of stack filter was used. A pre-leak check was conducted and determined to be < 0.02 ft/min. Sampling was determined to be done at 8 traverse points.

The oven was operated normally by cooking the following foods:

[Other]

Quartered chickens weighing 2.5-3.5 lbs. The oven cavity was filled to the maximum capacity of 24 quartered chickens, and was cooked at $500^{\circ}F$ for 8 hrs. 00 minutes. Total 17 loads were cooked.

The cooking cycle was repeated for 8 hours of continuous cooking.

During the cooking operation, it was noted whether or not visible effluents evolved from the air exhaust of the hood. Gauge, meter and temperature readings were taken and recorded every 10 min. After cooking, the condition of the duct was noted and a post-leak check was conducted and determined to be $< 0.02 \, \mathrm{ft^3/min}$.



After being allowed to cool, the sampling equipment was disassembled. The glass-filter is to be removed using a pair of forceps and placed in a clean petri dish. The dish is to be sealed and labeled "SAMPLE 1".

A sample of the acetone of the same volume that will be used to rinseout the nozzle and probe is to be placed into a clean sample bottle, sealed, and labeled "SAMPLE 2". The level of the liquid in the sample bottle is to be recorded.

The inside of the nozzle and probe is to be rinsed with acetone taking care to collect all the rinse material in a clean sample bottle. The sample bottle is to be sealed, labeled "SAMPLE 3", and the level of the liquid in the bottle is to be recorded.

The liquid in the first three impingers is to be measured and the total volume is to be recorded which will be compared to the original volume. The liquid is to be quantitatively transferred to a clean sample bottle. Each impinger and the connecting glassware including the probe extension are to be rinsed twice with water. The rinse water is to be collected and added to the same sample bottle. The sample bottle is to be sealed, labeled "SAMPLE 4" and the level of the liquid in the bottle is to be recorded.

This rinse process is to be repeated with two rinses of methylene chloride ($MeCl_2$). The rinses are to be recovered in a clean sample bottle. The sample bottle is to be sealed, labeled "SAMPLE 5" and the level of the liquid in the bottle is to be recorded.

A volume of water approximately equivalent to the volume of water used to rinse and a volume of $MeCl_2$ approximately equivalent to the volume of $MeCl_2$ used to rinse is to be placed in two clean sample bottles. The sample bottles are to be sealed, labeled "SAMPLE 6" and "SAMPLE 7" respectively, and the level of the liquid in the bottles is to be recorded.

The weight of the fourth impinger containing the silica gel is to be recorded and then the silica gel can be discarded.

The analysis phase was done in accordance with EPA Method 202, using the out of stack filter.

RESULTS

The results [are] [are not] considered acceptable because there [was] [was no] visible smoke emitted from the exhaust of the hood during the normal cooking operation. There [was] [was no] noticeable amounts of smoke accumulated in the test room after 8 hours of continuous cooking.

The total amount of grease-laden effluents collected by the sampling equipment was found to be 0.82 mg/m 3 , which is [less] [more] than 5 mg/m 3 .

The total grease emissions (per clause 78.2 of 710B) in pounds per hour per linear food of hood was 0.000390 lb/hr/ft.

Note: Stack avg humidity and temperature;

Stack temperature; 86°F

HUMIDITY INSIDE STACK; 44 %



CONDENSIBLE MATTER (Lab Analysis)

Sample Bottle		Volume, ml	Final Wt,
No.	Description		mg
2	Acetone (Blank)	115.0	0.1
3	Acetone (Wash)	115.0	0.6
4 & 5	Solvent Phase(Wash)	200.0	3.0
4 & 5	Water Phase (Wash)	390.0+280.0=670.0	3.6
6&7	Solvent Phase (Blank)	200.0	0.9
6&7	Water Phase (Blank)	280.0	0.3

Filter paper weight before test- 598.6 mg Filter paper weight after test- 599.5 mg

Analysis

- 1. The liquid level of all the sample bottles is to be measured.
- 2. The filter from sample ONE is to be removed and dried to constant weight by means of a desiccator or an oven. The weight of the filter is to be recorded.
- 3. The volume of sample TWO is to be determined. The liquid is then to be transferred to a beaker and evaporated to dryness. The volume of the liquid and the final weight of the condensable matter are to be recorded.
- 4. The volume of sample THREE is to be determined. The liquid is then to be transferred to a beaker and evaporated to dryness. The volume of the liquid and the final weight of the condensable matter are to be recorded.
- 5. The volumes of sample FOUR and FIVE are to be measured.
- 6. Samples FOUR and FIVE are to be combined. The solvent phase is to be mixed, separated, and then repeated with two $MeCl_2$ washes.
- 7. The solvent extracts obtained from the procedure in 6 are to be placed in a beaker and evaporated to a constant weight. The final weight is to be recorded.
- 8. The water phase is to be placed in a beaker and evaporated to dryness. The final weight is to be recorded.
- 9. The volumes of samples SIX and SEVEN are to be determined. Sample bottles SIX and SEVEN are to be analyzed according to procedures 8 and 7 respectively.